

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 1150017 DATE: <u>03/06/2008</u> ARRIVE: <u>~8:45 am</u> DEPART: <u>~10:00 am</u>
FACILITY NAME: CENTRAL AVENUE BATCH PLANT
FACILITY LOCATION: 1022 Central Ave
SARASOTA 34236-3315
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DANIEL BEATTY PHONE: (239)267-4275
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/23/2006 / 12/23/2011
(effective date) (end date)
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.
(check <b>appropriate</b> box(es))
Stack Emissions
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? \ Yes \ \ No
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? \( \subseteq \text{Yes} \) \( \subseteq \text{No} \)

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	Yes
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ng ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))		]	
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)		]! 	
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take re	easonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by:	austracie procuutions to control ancomme		
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yard		[	
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock</li> </ol>		ю	
		lo	
<ol><li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other pay</li></ol>	ved areas under control of the owner/operator to		
	luce airborne particulate matter?   Yes   N	Ю	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind b			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate en	nissions at the drop point to the truck?   Yes   N	О	
		_	
[ <del>-</del>			
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rul	e 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment			
1. Cinco the last inspection has there been			
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?		Nο	
a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most			
recent notification form?			
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F	AC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	LYes L1	No	
Debbie Anders	03/06/2008		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
•	•		
	~ 2009		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
COMMENTS: INS 3. Observed EU 004 cement silo & loadout visi	ble emissions compliance tests. Slag and flyash trucks have	d not	

yet arrived.